UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,474	08/09/2004	Thomas Margaria	04125	6829
23338 7590 01/26/2009 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET SUITE 105			EXAMINER	
			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,474	MARGARIA, THOMAS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NGOCLAN T. MAI	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANE	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ To 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 15,16,19,20,22-24,26-28 and 30-4.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd  5)  Claim(s) 19 and 26-28 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 15,16,22,30-34,36 and 37 is/are re  7)  Claim(s) 20, 23-24, 35, 38-42 is/are objected  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and and all all all all all all all all all al	lrawn from consideration.  jected. d to. d/or election requirement.  iner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least company content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/500,474 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of Claims

1. Claims 15-16, 19-20, 22-24, 26-28, 30-42 are currently under examination, wherein claims 15, 19, and 26-28 are currently amended and claims 30-42 are new in applicant's amendment filed on September 25, 2008.

### Status of Previous Rejection

2. The various rejections made in previous office action are withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment filed September 25, 12008. However upon further consideration, the claims are rejected as follow.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15, 16, 22, 30-34 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,415,307).

Concerning claims 15 and 30, Schuh et al disclose in a process for casting of pipe a coating of ferro-silicon or calcium silicon (60% Si, 30% Ca) is applied on the surface of a cylindrical centrifugal metal mold before introducing molten cast iron into contact with the inside surface of the mold will result in finer graphite nodules and reduced chill and casting

Art Unit: 1793

surface obtained free of pinholes. See column 2, lines 12-18 and column 4, line 48 to column 5, line 20. Notes that both ferro-silicon and calcium silicon is known as inoculating alloy and since calcium silicon is a metal similar to the one used as the reducing agent (specification, page 4, lines 27-28), it would server as a strongly reducing metal having the claimed property. Schuh et al therefore teach either the inoculating alloy of ferro-silicon or calcium silicon can be used for coating the inside surface of the mold.

Schuh et al differ from the claims in that there is no teaching of at least one inoculating alloy and at least one strongly reducing metal that is volatile at the temperature of the molten cast iron. However since Schuh et al disclose the claimed material is conventionally known in the same field of endeavor or the analogous metallurgical art for coating mold surface in the process of making pipes, therefore, it would have been obviousness for one skilled in the art to combine two or more materials when each is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

Concerning claims 16 and 31, Schuh et at does not teach a mixture of a plurality of inoculating alloys. Schuh however teaches other known inoculating alloy such as ferro-silicon can be used. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use more than one inoculants since the combine two or more materials when each is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,474 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Concerning claims 32-34 and 36 calcium-silicon meets the claimed requirement that the strongly reducing metal is an element in column IIa of the periodic table, which it is calcium and it is of non-ferrous alloy.

Concerning claims 22 and 37, there is no mentioning of Fe in the calcium silicon alloy thus therefore it meets the claimed limitation, less than 10% by weight of Fe.

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to various rejections made in previous action have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See above rejections.
- 6. Claims 20, 23-24, 35, 38-41, and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 19 and 26-28 are deemed allowable.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NGOCLAN T. MAI whose telephone number is (571)272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,474 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

n.m.